

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/649,805 08/26/2003 Carlos de la Huerga 4730-00022 6000 26753 7590 01/26/2005 **EXAMINER** ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP **BUTLER, MICHAEL E** 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 3653

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/649,805	DE LE HURGA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Butler	3653
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 60-66</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority copies of	nts have been received. Into have been received in Application of the certified copies not received the certified copies not received.	tion No ved in this National Stage ved.
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the f 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 	rovisional application has been re	or in an Application Data Sheet.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of		
Attachment(s)		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

APPLICATION CONTROL NUMBER: 10/649805

DETAILED ACTION

Page: 2

Priority

Applicant's claims of priority to applications 09168783 filed 10/8/98 and 08832613 filed 3/28/97 and 08955475 filed 10/21/97 and 60033491 filed 12/20/96 are acknowledged.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of the invention of group II in the response of 11/10/04 is 2. acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The restriction requirement is made final. Claims 1-20 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 3. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 60-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed at two differing 4. and distinct statutory classes of invention-process and machine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

ART UNIT: 3653

APPLICATION CONTROL NUMBER: 10/649805

ART UNIT: 3653

Page: 3

Claim(s) 61-66 is/are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 112 second paragraph, as being 6.

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has written system claims with substantial method steps creating hybrid

claims. Claims need be directed at system elements.

Consider subordinating the method steps with language such as claiming the

system as capable of performing the steps or configured to perform the steps.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8.

examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is

(703) 308-8344.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax number for the

Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael & Butler

Michael E. Butler

Examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600